

EFFECTIVE LAND USE TESTIMONY

IV. “FOR THE RECORD, OR NOT” MATTERS

The Hugo Land Use Committee, Goal One Coalition, and Rogue Advocates have extensively researched the issue of the record and documented the project in a February 2007 publication entitled, *For The Record, Or Not*. All interested participants in providing effective land use testimony should review this document.

For The Record, Or Not

Hugo Land Use Committee, Goal One Coalition, & Rogue Advocates.
<http://www.hugoneighborhood.org/fortherecordornot.htm>

- Executive Summary
- I. Authors
- II. "For the Record"
 - A. Rules & Regulations
 - B. Josephine County Rural Land Development Code (RLDC): Rules for Accepting Testimony "For The Record"
 - C. LUBA Opinions and Headnotes
- III. Findings
- IV. Local Josephine County's History
- V. Testimony Alternatives
- VI. Strategy Considerations For Testimony Alternatives
- VII. Summary
- VIII. Disclaimer
- IX. Signature Page

The Term “Placed Before” Is a Term of Art As used in OAR 661-010-0025(1)(b), the term “placed before” is a term of art and does not merely describe the act of setting documents in front of the decision maker.

Legislative decision making often involves less precisely defined procedures for compiling an evidentiary record than quasi-judicial decision making. *Witham Parts and Equipment Co. v. ODOT*, 42 Or LUBA 589 (2002).

Revised May 21, 2014